

UNITED S. ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKETINO.

09/164,392

WASHINGTON DC 20004

Cu

09/30/98

KIM

D

33404/DBP/Y3

022930 WM51/1106 HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLP BOX 34 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW

LANEAU, R

ADTUNET

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2674

DATE MAILED:

11/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 09/164,392 iicant(s)

DONG-GYU KIM

Examiner

Ronald Laneau

Group Art Unit 2674



TH	E PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) [X]	expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	date on determine calculat	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 24, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
X	∑ The proposed amendment(s):		
	🗶 wi	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	☐ wi	Il not be entered because:	
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).		
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).		
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO	TE:	
	□ Ar	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
X	for all	ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because: e same reasons given in final Office action.	
		ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.	
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
		s allowed: <u>none</u>	
	Claim	s objected to: <u>none</u>	
	Claim	s rejected: 1-16	
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) / / //	
	Other	Rolly-	
		RICHARD HJERPE	
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	